Virtual Localization formula Context. of Graber-Pandheripande Main goal of emperative geometry: court curves on a scheme X/ (in different ways & setups) A popular approach: A popular approach: consider Mg,n (X, B) - stack of smooth genus g arves with a marked pts with a map to X, whose class in bl\_(X, Z) is p. This stach is not proper, so people take its compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ , by adding nodal curves with finite automorphosus. When X is a projective variety, Mg, n (D, B) is a proper D-M stack, and it has a vorteral fundamental dass in CH (M (M )) so a reasonable answer to curve counting problem on & is the "degree" p+ [Mgin (x,p)] vir ECH, (pt)@Q=Q. We need to rationalize to have pt, because p: Mgm(X,B)-pt & not representable!] This hunder (degree of  $\overline{M}_{g,n}(k,p)^{"} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) is an example at G-W invariant, and in simple cases (e.g. for CY 3-folds) that's the only one More generally curve counting on X com he Haught of as integration against [M (X,B)]. Vir.

you lift cohomology classes from X" and Mgin, cup them on Msin (X,B) and then compute their degrees (national munbers) by integrating against [Mgin(x,p)]", this gives you G-W invariants. Main question: how to compute [Mg,n(X,B)]<sup>vir</sup> in practice? Today we'll get a recipe for it when X has a Bm-action (e.g. X=p with some choice of weights). Virtual localization tomula. JE Du-stack with T-action and a T-equivariant perfect distriction theory  $E \rightarrow L$ Denote i: SETE the fixed locus,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I} \mathcal{F}_{j} - \frac{\operatorname{comps}}{\operatorname{comps}}$ Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}^{\text{vir}} \simeq [\mathcal{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{1}] - global resolution by v.b.$ Then the following equality holds (in particular, Ries is well-defined):  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{j} \\ \mathcal{F}_{j$ where QCt] = CHT (pt) @Q = CH, (BT) @Q. twe'll defined all the terms later on]

Ren. The original work by Gi-P has stronger assumptions: - E Loss a global resolution E=Eo>E, by v.b. I will follow the generalization by Chang-Kiem-Li "Torus localization and wall coossing for cosection localized virtual cycles" because I understand their proof better. Ren: virtuel localization formula is strong: it works in singular settings whereas B-B decomposition doesn't!

Application for  $\overline{M}_{(P,d)}:$  express  $[\overline{M}_{g,n}(P,d)]^{vir}$  in terms of  $[\overline{\Pi}\overline{M}_{g,n}]^{vir}$ , where  $(g,n_{r})$  are determined by the combinatories of the fixed point locus. Main idea: a point in the fixed locus has little freedom! If  $f: C \rightarrow P'$  is a T-fixed map with finite automs, then the images of all marked pts, nodes, contracted comps, and ramification pts must be T-fixed pts in P.

Moreover, each non-contracted component of C must map onto a T-invariant curve in P, i.e. anto a kine connecting two tiped points and be ramified only over the two fixed pts => this component must be rational (~P'), and i restricted to this component is determined by its degree. ( I appears from de Composing curves into comps).

Standing assumptions: k=C, CH, := CH, OQ. Chows groups of stacks: · dor alg. spaces and M stucks with Q-coeffs, CH, = x-dim integral closed substacks module ~rat . for quotient stacks y CH, (Y) = colim CH ++++++(E) (E) E-> Y v.b. +++++(E) graded group in particular CH+(BT) = Q[t], t = [O(1)] ECH(BT). As a ring:  $CH^*(BT) \simeq QC+J$ ,  $CH^\circ = Q \cdot EBTJ$ ,  $CH' = Q \cdot CO(D)$ .

In topology: H (BC\*; Q)=H\*(BS'; Q)= H\*(CP°, Q) ≃ Q[t]. Froject for general Artin stacks: more complicated, f: V → E generators are f: V → E (+). projective . X D-M stack with T-action. CH\*(x):= CH\*([×17]) - T-equivariant groups. L'quotient stack i De CDE substack of the fixed locus, locally on offines given by Spec A, C, Spee A where A<sup>mu</sup> is the ideal generated by T-eigentunctions with non-trivial characters, Atiyah-Bott localization formula for stucks (Kresch) (Originally Bott residue formula, Attych-Segal worked with KU, instead of CH, (1968) later Thomason worked out G, for alg. spaces, and Edidin-Graham for Chk; Athis result is also called Concentration theorem.) Claim: the inclusion is I and induces Proof: . Cle want to assume that the induced action of T on SET is trivial. Since these are stacks, it's not a given. Example: TAPI mTAMo(1P1, d)= 2

Take  $p = [z \mapsto z^d] \in \overline{M}_o(P', d)$ , it's a fixed point, it's component in FT is BMd. Then TRBMd is non-trivial. But: we can consider T'-action on E, where T' or T is a d-cover of T. And T'-action on set is already trivial. Fact: there is always an h-covert of T that makes T-action on XET trivial, and  $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{T}}$  is a DON stack (T'-action on X-XT has quasi-finite stabilizer)

Since passing to an n-cover doesn't change  $CH_{b}$  with  $\mathcal{Q}$ -coeffs, we can replace T by  $T^{1}$  and assume these properties. • we want to use the localization sequence for stacks (uresd):  $\mathfrak{X}$  Artin stack,  $\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{U}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{U}$  is a global quotient stack => there's exact sequence  $CH_{*}(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow CH_{b}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow CH_{*}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow 0.$ is defined

With Q-coeffs, it works when U is a DH stack too.

In particular, we get (after[-/]):  $CH_{*}^{-}(\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{X}_{4}^{-1}) \rightarrow CH_{*}^{-}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} CH_{*}^{+}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow CH_{*}^{-}(\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{X}_{4}^{-1}) \rightarrow O.$ The condition about X-35T DM stack implies that CH\* (X - X, 1) = 0 \* < -1 so multiplying by powers of t ECH\_2 (Spec C)=CH! (Spec) will kill eventually bler & Colleer of it. () CHT (Spec C) = R[t] is unbounded in degrees, because BT isn't a D-M stack, since T isn't finite.

(Vin.) Localization formula by gmooth & (and 
$$E = U_{\infty}$$
).  
 $x \text{ smooth } = > U x J^{vir} = [x]$   
 $x \text{ smooth } D - M \text{ stack } \Rightarrow x T \text{ is smooth too,}$   
and so  $U x T J^{vir} = U x J$ .  
By A.-B. localization,  
 $[x] = i_* \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in CH_*(x^T) \otimes Q(It^{\pm i})$ .  
 $Q \text{ have:} \qquad N x^T$   
 $i^* i_* \lambda = \lambda \cdot e(N) \qquad - \text{ self } - \text{ intersection}$   
 $i^* [yE] = [yET] \qquad \text{ invertible, because}$   
 $i^* [x] = [yET] \qquad \text{ invertible, because}$   
 $U x \text{ invertible, because}$   
 $U x \text{ invertible, because}$   
 $= X = \frac{[xT]}{e(N)} = > [x] = i_* (\frac{[x]}{e(N)})$   
in  $CH_*(x^T) \otimes Q(It^{\pm i})$ .

9\_\_\_

١

General cerse. Wed like to initate this proof, but we don't have it to start coithe, as well all T-J''' defined a priori... But we'll get there :)

From last time:

Ense intrinsic normal cone, which étale-locally is given by ECu/u/Tu/u] for 4 CASU et j smooth Perfect obstruction theory E gives a vector bundle stack E "with LJE CAR Closed embedding Virtual fundamental class is Exjuir := O'E [ Ezz], i.e. intersecting Exe with the zero section x co & inside &, and then applying htpy invariance  $CH_{p}(G) \simeq CH_{p}(\mathcal{X})$ .

Now T-equivariantly:  

$$\mathfrak{F}$$
 DM stack with T-action as  
 $L_{\mathfrak{F}} \in D([\mathfrak{F}/_{+}]) - T$ -equivariant complex of  
T-equivariant loc-free sheaves of Ox-modules  
T-equivariant p. ot.: EGD([\mathfrak{I}/\_{+}]) with  
 $\mathfrak{p}: E \to L_{\mathfrak{F}} \in D([\mathfrak{F}/_{+}])$  that is a prost. on  $\mathfrak{F}_{-}$   
Now, we're given a T-equivariant p.o.t. on  $\mathfrak{F}$   
and we want to define an induced  
p.o.t. on  $\mathfrak{F}^{T}$ .  
 $\mathfrak{P}$  Tequiv. sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{-T}$ -modules as  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{in}$  - sheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -fixed submodules  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  - scheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{-T}$ -modules as  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{in}$  - sheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -fixed submodules  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  - scheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -fixed schemed to for a schemed  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  - scheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -fixed schemed to  $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  - scheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -regines  
 $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  - scheaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ -fixed  $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$  -  $\mathfrak{f}^{au}$ 

Kenee we get EXTJ<sup>vir</sup> from this p.o.t.

Now let  $E_{\mathbf{x}T} := E | fix and N^{uir} := (E | m^{u})^{V}$ , which are both perfect complexes. They fit in the diagram of cofiber sequences  $E \mid \longrightarrow E_{XT} \longrightarrow (N^{vir})^{vir} [1]$ Lye Jet Lyt - Lyet . By assumption,  $N^{vir} = [N_0 \rightarrow N_1]$ , so the normal sheet  $N_{\chi}T/\chi \hookrightarrow h'/h_{\circ} (N^{vir}(-1)) = her(N_{\circ} \rightarrow N_{1}) \subset N_{\circ}.$ This allows to define the virtual pullback  $i^{!}: CH_{*}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow CH_{*}(\mathcal{X}^{T})$ as [B] ~> [EBX xt/] ~> 0, [EBX xt/B]. xe/B In general, virilial pullback is a relative version of virtual fundamental dag i.e. Uh: 2E-> 7 there's defined h!: CH, (Y) -> CH, (X) whenever given an embedding C =/y C+> E, for E a v.b. stack on E. (for h gsmooth and E=11 it's Adeel's Gsmooth Gysin map!)

These h' satisfy nice properties, main of which is the following: when p.o.t. on & and y are compatible with Q, we get h! [y] vir = [x] vir. Precisely: let h be a map of D-M stacks with p. o. t.  $\phi_{y}: E_{y} \to U_{y}$  and  $\phi_{y}: E_{y} \to U_{y}$ that fit into cohiber seq. hEy LE = >Exig the product of the top of the the product of the product of the top of the top of the top of the terms of the top of the top of the terms of the top of an embedding of the intrinsic normal sheat into the U.S. stack  $h'/h^{o}(\mathbb{L}_{x/y}) \sim h'/h^{o}(\mathbb{E}_{x/y}) =: \mathcal{L}_{x/y})$ and Cx/y 4, Exery. Thus (technical core of virtual localization formule): h: X -> Y virtually smooth map of D-M stacks -> h'[Y]<sup>vir</sup> = [X]<sup>vir</sup> (uses Vistoli's rational equivalences to compare comes .....)

End of the proof of virtual localization formula we have i: If a X giving i!, which somewhat resembles it in the smooth case. ·Self-intersection holds by construction of i! for LECH, (XT) @ Q[t=1], i'ind = 0! d = d e (No) (true for any zT). · Now, if i was virtually smooth, we would say that i! I & J'' = I & J'' and be done like in the smooth case (if there was no N2). Key idea: modify the p.o.t. of  $\mathcal{X}^T$ to make  $\mathcal{X}^T \subset \mathcal{X}$  virtually smooth! We have: No [1] 2 (N") [1] h N, [2] and define Exp where T is from (4). p.o.t. on XT (exercise), and now is xT c> X is virtually smooth, so i' T X J<sup>vir</sup> = T X J<sup>vir</sup> Finally, we use

 $h'(h^{\circ}(E_{xer}) \rightarrow h'/h^{\circ}(E_{xer}) \rightarrow N_{1}$ to conclude that  $E \neq T ]_{E}^{vir} = E \neq J_{E}^{vir} \cdot e(N_{2}).$ This allows to obtain the virtual localization formula just like in the smooth case.

Hurrah!